

Planning Team Report

Ĩ

Mapping Anomaly Caliope Road Kiama

Proposal Title :	Mapping Anomaly Caliope Roa	d Kiama	
Proposal Summary :	To correct a mapping anomaly along the eastern and southern boundaries of Lot 20 DP1151501, Caliope Road Kiama by moving the R2 Low Density Residential and RU2 Rural Landscape Zone boundaries to correspond to the cadastral lot boundaries and thus allow for residential development on the entire lot as originally envisaged.		
PP Number :	PP_2014_KIAMA_001_00	Dop File No :	14/01255
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received ;	13-Jan-2014	LGA covered :	Kiama
Region :	Southern	RPA :	The Council of the Municipality c
State Electorate :	KIAMA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 15	Caliope Road		
Suburb : Kia	ama City :		Postcode : 2533
Land Parcel : Lo	t20 DP1151501		
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Lisa Kennedy		
Contact Number :	0242249457		
Contact Email :	lisa.kennedy@planning.nsw.gov	/.au	
RPA Contact Deta	nils		
Contact Name :	Kim Bray		
Contact Number :	0242320445		
Contact Email :	council@kiama.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Dat	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Illawarra Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

Mapping Anomaly Caliope Road Kiama

Mapping Anomaly Call	ope Road Klama			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.15	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	3	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes			7.	
Internal Supporting Notes :	Kiama Council has identified that the proposal will involve government consultation and a public exhibition period of 14 days. Recommendation: No government agency consultation or public exhibition is required.			
	The mapping anomoly extends onto other lots - Lots 21 DP1151501 Cole Street and Lots 21-24 DP1151501 Caliope Street. Recommendation: Kiama Council be requested to consider correcting the mapping anomaly in this location by moving the R2/RU2 zone boundary to the correspond to the southern cadastral boundary of lots 20-24 DP1151501 and eastern cadastral boundary of Lots 20 & 21 DP1151501.			
	As a result of the above two recor planning proposal, it is being refe determination.			
External Supporting Notes :	Lot 20 is 1.1ha in area and is locat approximately 200 metres west of cleared and is currently used for r	the Princes Highway. The m		
	The land has a spilt zoning under Low Density Residential. A triang thin sliver along the eastern boun	ular sliver of land along the s	outhern boundary and a	
	The landholder has submitted a planning proposal to Kiama Council to correct the mapping anomaly. The mapping from past planning instruments (Kiama LEP No. 5 and Kiama LEP 1996) shows that until 2012 the zone mapping corresponded to the eastern and southern boundaries of the Lot. The first occurrence of the split zone appears in Kiama LEP 1996 Amendment No. 61, February 2012. The spilt zone was carried across into the mapping for the Kiama LEP 2011. The spilt zone extends west onto four other lots in Caliope Street and north onto one lot in Cole Street.		ts (Kiama LEP No. 5 and esponded to the eastern and lit zone appears in Kiama is carried across into the	
	Council has confirmed that the sp application of a cadastral update of through to the Kiama LEP 2011.			
	The correction of the mapping and	omaly will allow the lot to be f	fully developed for	

		ged by the Kiama Urban Strategy - identified as a Greenfield site 16 and as zoned in earlier planning instruments.
	Urban Strategy and has a whole site as residential	orted the rezoning as it is both an endorsed site under the Kiama a clearly identified zoning anomaly on site. The rezoning of the will add to the development potential of the lot and for Kiama and et its dwelling supply obligations under the Illawarra Regional
dequacy Assessm	ent	
Statement of the	objectives - s55(2)(a)	
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Yes	
Comment :	The objective of the planning proposal is to ensure that the whole of Lot 20 DP1151501 is zoned R2 Low Density Residential Zone to enable residential development on the entire lot.	
Explanation of pr	ovisions provided - s55((2)(b)
Is an explanation of	provisions provided? Yes	
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to amend the Kiama LEP 2011 by amending the: * Land Zoning Map (sheet LZN_012)- from RU2 Rural Landscape to R2 Low Density Residential Zone * Lot Size Map (sheet LSZ_012) from 40ha to 450m2 * Height of Buildings Map (sheet HOB_012) to apply up to 8.5m * Floor Space Ratio Map (sheet FSR_012) to apply an FSR of 0.45:1	
Justification - s58	i (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's stra	itegy been agreed to by the Di	irector General? No
b) S.117 directions i	dentified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Director General's agreement		1.5 Rural Lands 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Is the Director Ge	neral's agreement required?	Yes
c) Consistent with S	andard Instrument (LEPs) Orc	der 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs hav	ve the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 Illawarra REP No. 1
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencie	s with items a), b) and d) being	g adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	Director General has sites (including this Director General adv consider a planning with the Strategy wh	rategy, 2011 has not been endorsed by the Director General. The s supported the Strategy's recommendation to rezone a number of site, site 16) to allow for urban residential development. The vised Council on 15th February 2012, that the Department will proposal for these sites. There are a number of outstanding issues nich require further investigation and negotiation between Council prior to the Director General endorsing the Strategy.
	Integrating Land Use	sal is consistent with the s117 Directions 3.1 Residential Zones; 3.4 e and Transport; and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies. The <i>v</i> ill enable the whole site to be used for residential land within the

Mapping Anomaly Caliope Road Kiama

Kiama town boundaries and is contributing to the Illawarra's regional housing supply.

The planning proposal is inconsistent with the s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones and 1.5 Rural Lands. These inconsistencies are justified by the site being identified in the Kiama Urban Strategy as suitable for a residential use. The area of the site mapped for rural use and its location adjacent to residential areas to the north and west prevents the land from being used for agricultural production. It is also of minor significance.

The proposal is consistent with SEPP55 Remediation of Land. A preliminary contamination assessment has concluded that the site is not impacted upon by any known contamination.

The proposal is inconsistent with SEPP Rural Lands, however, this inconsistency is justified by Council's strategic planning and urban development studies identifying the site as being suitable for residential development as agreed by the Director General.

The proposal is in consistent with the Illawarra Regional Environmental Plan No.1 in regards to land identified as having landscape or environmental attributes. The proposal is not considered to affect the scenic amenity of the area given the small area of land to be rezoned.

RECOMMENDATION: The Director General can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions and SEPPs or any inconsistencies have been justified by a strategy or are of minor significance.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Draft map sheets for LZN_012, LSZ_012, HOB_012, and FSR_012 from the Kiama LEP 2011 have been provided. The maps will to meet the 'Standard technical requirements for preparing LEP maps' when the plan is forwarded for finalisation.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Recommendation:

The planning proposal does not require public exhibition as it is correcting a mapping anomaly.

Council requests that the planning proposal be publicly exhibited for 14 days.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment: The planning proposal addresses the Department's "Guide for preparing a planning proposals" and is considered adequate for a Gateway determination with conditions.

A project timeframe of 12 months to complete the rezoning process is included in the planning proposal. This timeframe allows for the preparation of studies, government and community consultation and preparation of consultation reports -18 weeks. Such a timeframe is not considered to be required as no studies or consultation is required. Hence a more appropriate timeframe is 6 months. Even if Council decides to amend the planning proposal to include similarly affected adjoining lands then notification of those landowners may be appropriate and would not affect the timeframe.

apping Anomaly Cali	
	Council has not sought delegation to complete the planning proposal. However, it is considered appropriate that the delegation of plan making functions be given to Council due to the matter being a mapping anomaly, is of local significance, and its consistency with the Kiama Urban Strategy.
2	Council has stated that the planning proposal will correct a clearly identified zoning anomaly. The zoning anomaly extends over five adjoining lots and Council should consider correcting the zoning boundary for these lots as well.
	RECOMMENDATION: The Deputy Director General's letter to Kiama Council request Council to consider correcting the mapping anomaly in this location by moving the R2/RU2 zone boundary to the correspond to the southern cadastral boundary of Lots 20-24 DP1151501 and eastern cadastral boundary of Lots 20&21 DP1151501.
Proposal Assessment	and a second
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Kiama LEP was notified on 16th December 2011. This is the second amendment to the LEP.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the only means of achieving residential development on the site.
	An alternate option of retaining the current RU2 Rural Landscape and amending Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses to allow for residential development was considered. This option was not seen by Council as being appropriate as it is preferable to have zones reflect the intended land use.
	Kiama LEP 2011 did not adopt clause 5.3 Development near zone boundaries. However, adoption of this clause would require any development to be consistent with the objectives of both zones, which could not be achieved for residential development.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The land is identified in the Kiama Urban Strategy 2011 as site 16 – a Greenfield Urban Expansion Area. As a result the majority of the site was zoned R2 Low Density Residential under the Kiama LEP 2011.
	The planning proposal is consistent with the Illawarra Regional Strategy in that it will assist in delivering a range of housing types and controlled growth for Kiama.
Environmental social economic impacts :	At the request of Council, the landholder has prepared a Flora and Fauna Assessment, Aboriginal Heritage, Contamination Assessment and Concept Planning Proposal Report for the planning proposal. These reports have not raised any environmental issues with the area to be rezoned.
	The site is not mapped as bush fire prone land and as such a bushfire assessment report or consultation with the NSW Rural Fires Services is not required.
	The proposal will lead to the additional supply of residential zoned lots on the edge of the Kiama township.

<u>*</u>

.....

Assessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Minor		nmunity Consultation	Nil	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Dele	egation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons: Council has proposed government agency consultation with NSW Rural Fire Service; NSW Department of Primary Industries; NSW Office of Environmental and Heritage; NSW Office of Water; Sydney Water; and Endeavour Energy.					
	Recommendation: No government ag anomaly.		is required due to the	small area of the ma	pping
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :		*			
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasor	IS :				
Council required the la a Stage 1 Contamination the s117 Directions. T timeframe for complet	on Assessment, in or he planning proposa	rder to ascertain if I does not identify	the planning proposal the need for any furthe	would meet the obje er studies however tl	ctives of he
No further studies are	required.				
			and that was previously		e level of
As this proposal is ad studies that have beer and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION: Gateway determination	level of studies that Council should be ad	may be recommen lvised that only mi	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s	termination. hould be undertaken	proposal prior to
studies that have been and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION:	level of studies that Council should be ad n and the detail of an	may be recommen lvised that only mi y studies should n	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s	termination. hould be undertaken	proposal prior to
studies that have beer and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION: Gateway determination	level of studies that Council should be ad n and the detail of an nsultations, if required	may be recommen lvised that only mi y studies should n	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s	termination. hould be undertaken	proposal prior to
studies that have been and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION: Gateway determination Identify any internal cor	level of studies that Council should be ad n and the detail of an nsultations, if required on required	may be recommen lvised that only mi y studies should n :	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s natch the complexity o	termination. hould be undertaken	proposal prior to
studies that have been and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION: Gateway determination Identify any internal cor No internal consultation	level of studies that Council should be ad an and the detail of an insultations, if required on required ding of state infrastruc Details of site se	may be recommen lvised that only mi y studies should n : cture relevant to this	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s natch the complexity o s plan? No ive only and are to be f	etermination. hould be undertaken f the planning propo	proposal I prior to sal.
studies that have been and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION: Gateway determination Identify any internal cor No internal consultation Is the provision and fund	level of studies that Council should be ad an and the detail of an insultations, if required on required ding of state infrastruc Details of site se	may be recommen lvised that only mi y studies should n : cture relevant to this rvicing are indicati	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s natch the complexity o s plan? No ive only and are to be f	etermination. hould be undertaken f the planning propo	proposal I prior to sal.
studies that have been and also pre-empt the RECOMMENDATION: G Gateway determination Identify any internal cor No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons :	level of studies that Council should be ad an and the detail of an insultations, if required on required ding of state infrastruc Details of site se	may be recommen lvised that only mi y studies should n : cture relevant to this rvicing are indicati	ded by the Gateway de nimal studies (if any) s natch the complexity o s plan? No ive only and are to be f	etermination. hould be undertaken f the planning propos urther addressed in a	proposal I prior to sal.

Mapping Anomaly Caliope Road Kiama

happing Anomaly Ganope Road Riama		
131119 Planning Proposal 15 Caliope Road Kiama KMC meeting report 131119.pdf	Proposal	Yes
131119 Planning Proposal 15 Caliope Road Kiama KMC meeting minutes 131119.pdf	Proposal	Yes
140113 Planning Proposal 15 Caliope Road Kiama landholders Concept Planning Proposal Cowman Stoddart pty Itd.pdf	Proposal	Yes
140113 Planning Proposal 15 Caliope Road Kiama Flora & Fauna Assessment.pdf	Study	Yes
140113 Planning Proposal 15 Caliope Road Kiama Aboriginal Heritage Assessment.pdf	Study	Yes
140113 Planning Proposal 15 Caliope Road Kiama Contamination Assessment.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Additional Information :	The Deputy Director General, as delegate of the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Kiama Local Environmental Plan 2011 to correct a mapping anomaly and enable residential housing development on Lot 20 DP1151501, Caliope Road Kiama should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. No further technical studies or reports are required.
	Council is to prepare draft maps in accordance with Planning and Infrastructure's 'Standard technical requirements for LEP maps' when it submits the plan for finalisation.
	3. No community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act").
	4. No consultation is required with public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act.
	5. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example if reclassifying land).
	6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	7. Council be authorised to use its delegation of the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. It is also recommended that the Council be reminded to request the use of its delegations in its Gateway request and seek to use these delgations whenever possible.
	8. The Director General can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 3.1 Residential Zones; 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport; and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	9. The planning proposal is inconsistent with the s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones and 1.5 Rural Lands in that it is changing the rural zoning to allow residential development. However, the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistencies have been justified by local planning studies and the Kiama Urban Strategy.

Mapping Anomaly Caliope Road Kiama		
	10. The Director General can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor significance.	
	11. No further referral is required for any s117 Directions whilst the planning proposal remains in its current form.	
	12. It is recommended that the Deputy Director General's letter to Kiama Council request Council to consider also correcting the adjoining mapping anomalies by moving the R2/RU2 zone boundary to the correspond to the southern cadastral boundary of lots 20-24 DP1151501 and eastern cadastral boundary of Lots 20 & 21 DP1151501. Landowners should be notified if Council seeks to include this land.	
	13. Council be advised that only minimal studies should be undertaken prior to Gateway determination and the detail of any studies required before or after Gateway determination should match the complexity of the planning proposal.	
Supporting Reasons :	The conditions are necessary to ensure that: • A simple mapping anomaly does not become complicated by unnecessary consultation and studies.	
Signature:	MMalu	
Printed Name:	MARK PARKER Local Planning Manager Date: 26th February 2014	